Title:					Impact Assessment (IA)					
IA No:	Date: 01/01/2011									
Lead department or a	Stage: Development/Options									
				Source of intervention: Domestic						
Other departments or agencies:				Type of measure: Primary legislation						
	Contact for enquiries:									
Summary: Interv	RPC Opinion: RPC Opinion Status									
	Cos	t of Preferred (or m	1	<u> </u>						
Total Net Present Value	Business Net Present Value	Net cost to busine year (EANCB on 2009	-	In scope of Two-Out?	One-In,	Meas	ure qua	alifies as		
£m	£m	£m		Yes/No		In/C	out/zero	net cost		
Maximum of 7 lines What are the policy of										
Maximum of 7 lines										
What policy options option (further detail: Maximum of 10 lines	s in Evidence Base		alternativ	es to regula	tion? Ple	ease ju	stify pr	eferred		
Will the policy be reviewed? It will/will not be reviewed. If applicable, set review date: Month/Year										
Does implementation		Yes / No / N/A								
Are any of these organe exempted set out reas	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Micro Yes/No	< 20 Yes/No	Small Yes/No		edium s/No	Large Yes/No		
What is the CO ₂ equiv (Million tonnes CO ₂ ed		. 53/110	Traded: Non-traded:							
I have read the Impact reasonable view of the	t Assessment and e likely costs, bend	efits and impact of	the leadin	ng options.	idence, i Date		esents a	9		
Signed by the respon	SINIC SELECT SIC	JINATURT			Dale	·				

Summary: Analysis & Evidence

Description:

Costs:

Benefits:

FULL ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Price Base Year	PV Bas			Net Benefit (Present Value (PV)) (£m)				
	Year	Years	Low: C	Low: Optional High: Optional		Best Estimate:		
COSTS (£	m)	Total T (Constant Price	ransition) Years	Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)		Total Cos (Present Value		
Low		Optiona	I		Optional	Option		
High		Optiona	I	Optional			Optional	
Best Estimat	te							
Maximum of		e of key monetised (costs by 'n	nain affected g	jroups [,]			
Other key no Maximum of		tised costs by 'main		groups'				
BENEFITS	(£m)	Total T (Constant Price	ransition) Years	Average Annual (excl. Transition) (Constant Price)		Total Benefi (Present Value		
Low		Optiona	I	Optional		Optio		
High		Optiona	I		Optional		Optional	
Best Estimat	te							
Maximum of	f 5 lines	e of key monetised l			u groups			
Key assump Maximum of		nsitivities/risks				Discount rate (%)		
BUSINESS AS	SSESSM	ENT (Option 1)						
Direct impact on business (Equivalent Annual) £m:					In scope of OIT	O? Measure qua	alifies as	

Yes/No

IN/OUT/Zero net cost

Evidence Base (for summary sheets)

There is discretion for departments and regulators as to how to set out the evidence base. However, it is desirable that the following points are covered:

- Problem under consideration;
- Rationale for intervention;
- Policy objective;
- Description of options considered (including do nothing);
- Monetised and non-monetised costs and benefits of each option (including administrative burden);
- Rationale and evidence that justify the level of analysis used in the IA (proportionality approach);
- · Risks and assumptions;
- Direct costs and benefits to business calculations (following OITO methodology);
- Wider impacts (consider the impacts of your proposals, the questions on pages 16 to 18 of the IA
 Toolkit are useful prompts. Document any relevant impact here and by attaching any relevant specific
 impact analysis (e.g. SME and equalities) in the annexes to this template)
- Summary and preferred option with description of implementation plan.

Inserting text for this section:

Replace the notes on this page with the text for the evidence base.

To maintain consistent formatting, apply Styles from the toolbar. The **Paste Without Format** toolbar button can be used to paste text from other documents in the current style here.